

Compliance audit program

EL9293 Halls Peak Exploration Project

Golden Plateau Pty Ltd

January 2026

Published by the Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development

Title: EL9293 Halls Peak Exploration Project
Subtitle: Golden Plateau Pty Ltd
First published: January 2026
Department reference number: D26/2399

Amendment schedule		
Date	Version	Amendment
January 2026	1.0	First published

© State of New South Wales through the Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development 2026. You may copy, distribute, display, download and otherwise freely deal with this publication for any purpose, provided that you attribute the Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development as the owner. However, you must obtain permission if you wish to charge others for access to the publication (other than at cost); include the publication in advertising or a product for sale; modify the publication; or republish the publication on a website. You may freely link to the publication on a departmental website.

Disclaimer: The information contained in this publication is based on knowledge and understanding at the time of writing (January 2026) and may not be accurate, current or complete. The State of New South Wales (including Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development), the author and the publisher take no responsibility, and will accept no liability, for the accuracy, currency, reliability or correctness of any information included in the document (including material provided by third parties). Readers should make their own inquiries and rely on their own advice when making decisions related to material contained in this publication.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction.....	5
1.1. Background.....	5
1.2. Audit objectives	5
1.3. Audit scope	5
1.4. Audit criteria.....	5
1.5. Publishing and disclosure of information.....	6
2. Audit methods.....	7
2.1. Opening meeting.....	7
2.2. Site interviews and inspections	7
2.2.1. Data collection and verification.....	7
2.2.2. Site inspections.....	7
2.3. Closing meeting.....	8
2.4. Compliance assessment definitions.....	8
2.5. Reporting.....	9
3. Audit findings.....	10
3.1. Work program.....	10
3.2. Access arrangements.....	10
3.3. Native title and exempted areas.....	10
3.4. Community consultation	11
3.4.1. Risk assessment.....	11
3.4.2. Community consultation strategy.....	11
3.4.3. Implementation and reporting	12
3.5. Exploration activity approvals.....	12
3.6. Environmental management.....	12
3.7. Security deposit.....	14
3.8. Rehabilitation.....	14
3.8.1. Risk assessment	15
3.8.2. Rehabilitation objectives and completion criteria	15
3.8.3. Rehabilitation program.....	15
3.9. Annual activity reporting	16
3.10. Core and sample storage.....	16

3.11. Record keeping16

4. Compliance management18

4.1. Identifying compliance obligations18

4.2. Contractor management18

4.3. Inspections, monitoring and evaluation18

5. Audit conclusions20

1. Introduction

1.1. Background

Exploration licence 9293 (1992) was granted as a low impact exploration licence to Golden Plateau Pty Ltd on 17 September 2021. The exploration area was about 45 kilometres south-east of Armidale in northern New South Wales. Critical Resources Pty Ltd acquired Golden Plateau in November 2024. Critical Resources was the operator of the title.

As part of the compliance audit program, an audit of the exploration activities associated with the Halls Peak exploration project within EL9293 was undertaken on 22 October 2025 by the Resources Regulator within the Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development.

1.2. Audit objectives

The objectives of the audit were to:

- undertake a compliance audit of the Golden Plateau Pty Ltd exploration activities against the requirements of the *Mining Act 1992* and the conditions of the exploration licence and activity approvals issued pursuant to that Act.
- assess the operational performance of the exploration activities and the ability of the titleholder to implement management systems and controls to provide for sustainable management of the operations.

1.3. Audit scope

The scope of the audit included:

- the exploration activities associated with the Halls Peak RC exploration project including:
 - exploration activities within EL9293 including a selected sample of exploration drillholes.
 - borehole sealing and rehabilitation activities for selected drilling activities undertaken since October 2023.
- A review of documents and records pertaining to the exploration operations for the period commencing 1 October 2023 and ending 22 October 2025.

1.4. Audit criteria

The audit criteria against which compliance was assessed included:

- *Mining Act 1992*, specifically, Sections 5, 30, 140, 163C to 163E, 163G, 378D
- Mining Regulation 2016, specifically clauses 59 to 68
- Conditions attached to EL9293 (granted 17 September 2021)
- Assessable prospecting operations application dated 8 May 2025 for 12 RC drill holes, and associated approval dated 26 August 2025 (APO0002039)

- Exploration code of practice: Environmental management (Version 4, June 2021 and Version 5, March 2022)
- Exploration code of practice: Rehabilitation (Version 4, June 2021 and Version 5, March 2022)
- Exploration code of practice: Community consultation (Version 2.0, October 2022 and Version 2.1, May 2023)
- Exploration code of practice: Produced water management, storage and transfer (Version 3, September 2017, Version 4, June 2021 and Version 5, March 2022)
- Exploration Reporting: A guide for reporting on exploration and prospecting in New South Wales (Version 3, October 2021 and Version 4, January 2022)
- Exploration Guideline: Annual activity reporting for prospecting titles (Version 3.0, December 2020 and Version 4, October 2022) published by Department of Regional NSW.

1.5. Publishing and disclosure of information

This audit report was published on the Regulator's website consistent with:

- Section 365 of the *Mining Act 1992*
- Resources Regulator's [Public comment policy](#)
- *Government Information (Public Access) Act 2009*.

2. Audit methods

The audit process involved the interview of site personnel, a review of documentation and samples of records provided by the licence holder and/or operator to determine the level of compliance of the operations and assess the status of the operational performance. The audit process and methodology are described in more detail in the sections below.

2.1. Opening meeting

An opening meeting was held onsite on 22 October 2025. The audit team was introduced, and the scope of their responsibilities was conveyed to the auditees. The objectives and scope of the audit were outlined. The methods to be used by the team to conduct the audit were explained, including the interview of personnel, review of documentation, examination of records and a site inspection to assess specific compliance requirements.

2.2. Site interviews and inspections

2.2.1. Data collection and verification

Where possible, documents and data provided during the audit process were reviewed electronically on the day. Several documents were unable to be reviewed on the day and were provided following the remote audit.

All information obtained during the audit process was verified by the audit team where possible. For example, statements made by site personnel were verified by viewing documentation and records, including site photographs, where possible. Where suitable verification could not be provided, this has been identified in the audit findings as not determined.

2.2.2. Site inspections

A site inspection was undertaken of the following exploration operations in EL9293:

- Reverse circulation (RC) hole ARC-002, drilled October 2025
- RC hole ARC-003, drilled October 2025
- RC hole ARC-004, drilled October 2025
- RC hole ARC-005, drilled October 2025
- RC hole ARC-006, drilled October 2025
- RC hole ARC-007, drilled October 2025
- RC hole ARC-008, drilled October 2025
- RC hole ARC-009, drilled October 2025
- RC hole ARC-010, drilled October 2025
- RC hole ARC-011, drilled October 2025
- RC hole ARC-012, drilled October 2025.

2.3. Closing meeting

A closing meeting was held on site on 22 October 2025. The objectives of this meeting were to discuss any outstanding matters, present preliminary findings and outline the process for finalising the audit report.

2.4. Compliance assessment definitions

The reporting of results from the compliance audit was determined based on the definitions presented below in Table 1.

Table 1: Compliance assessment definitions

Assessment	Criteria
Compliance	Sufficient and appropriate evidence is available to demonstrate the particular requirement has been complied with.
Non-compliance	<p>Clear evidence has been collected to demonstrate the particular requirement has not been complied with. There are 3 subcategories of non-compliance reflecting the severity and level of risk associated with the non-compliance:</p> <p>NC1 – the absence of planning or implementation of a required operational element which has the potential to result in a significant risk.</p> <p>NC2 – an isolated lapse or absence of control in the implementation of an operational element which is unlikely to result in a significant risk.</p> <p>NC3 – an administrative or reporting non-compliance which does not have a direct environmental or safety significance.</p> <p>Note: The identification of a non-compliance in this audit may or may not constitute a breach of, or offence under, the <i>Mining Act 1992</i>. Non-compliances identified in this audit report may be further investigated by the Regulator and regulatory actions may be undertaken.</p>
Observation of concern	<p>Where an auditee may be compliant at the time of the audit but there are issues that exist that could result in the potential for future non-compliance if not addressed.</p> <p>Observation of concern was also used where an issue may not have particular compliance requirements, but which was not conducive to good management or best practice.</p>
Suggestion for improvement	Where changes in processes or activities inspected or evaluated at the time of the audit could deliver improvement in relation to risk minimisation, sustainable outcomes and management practices.
Not determined	<p>The necessary evidence has not been collected to enable an assessment of compliance to be made within the scope of the audit.</p> <p>Reasons why the audit team could not collect the required information include:</p> <p>insufficient information on the file relating to the period covered by the audit or insufficient evidence collected to reach a conclusion</p> <p>the wording on the criteria (approval condition) meant that no evidence could be gathered, or it was too difficult to gather the evidence.</p>

Assessment	Criteria
	A 'not determined' assessment was also made where the condition was outside the scope of the audit.
Not applicable	<p>The circumstances of the authorisation or licence holder have changed and are no longer relevant (e.g. no longer mining, mining equipment and plant has been removed).</p> <p>An invoking element in the criteria was not activated within the scope of the audit.</p>

2.5. Reporting

Following completion of the audit, the audit checklists were completed, and audit notes were reviewed to compile a list of outstanding matters to be noted in the audit report. This report was prepared to provide an overview of the operational performance of the site in relation to the exploration activities and identify any non-compliances or observations of concern noted by the auditors during the documentation review and interviews.

The draft audit findings were forwarded to Golden Plateau for comment. Consideration was given to the representations made during the finalisation of the audit report as discussed in the audit findings.

3. Audit findings

3.1. Work program

Condition one of EL9293 required the licence holder to carry out the operations described in the approved work programs. Work program WP-EL9293-2021-2026 was in force during the audit period. EL9293 was granted as a low impact exploration licence, which limited the exploration activities able to be carried out.

Evidence was available to confirm exploration activities were progressing. Annual reports for the 2024 and 2025 reporting periods were reviewed for EL9293. Exploration completed included:

- ground reconnaissance including mapping of natural exposures and collection of samples for assay
- compilation of past exploration data into a GIS database, including literature search of previous exploration reports
- geochemical sampling and multi-element analyses of rock samples
- petrographic studies of rock samples
- interpretation of airborne geophysical data
- large scale geochemical soil survey
- planning for and completion of RC drilling program.

Golden Plateau and Critical Resources used the annual reporting and budgeting processes to set the work program for the year across the tenement. There were some minor variations to the work program but overall, there was more exploration work done than envisaged in the work program.

Exploration data was noted to be maintained by the Golden Plateau and Critical Resources geologists (and/or their contractors) and submitted to NSW Resources with the annual activity reports as required.

3.2. Access arrangements

Section 140 of the *Mining Act 1992* stated, ‘the holder of a prospecting title must not carry out prospecting operations on any particular area of land except in accordance with an access arrangement or arrangements applying to that area of land’. The access arrangement was required to be agreed in writing between the holder of the prospecting title and each landholder of that area of land.

Evidence was provided to confirm a land access agreement (Forestry Permit) was in place with Forestry Corporation of NSW. There were no other parties requiring a land access agreement identified by Golden Plateau or Critical Resources.

3.3. Native title and exempted areas

Golden Plateau and its operator Critical Minerals were undertaking exploration activities in the Styx River State Forest under a low-impact exploration licence. Although the Styx River state forest was

public land on which native title may not be extinguished, the low impact exploration licence was excluded from the right to negotiate provisions of the *Native Title Act 1993*. The native title condition was not imposed on EL9293.

Section 30 of the *Mining Act 1992* required the consent of the Minister before a licence holder undertook any activities within a State Conservation Area. For any other exempted areas, a land access arrangement with the nominated landholder was required.

Golden Plateau and Critical Resources had a land access agreement with Forestry Corporation of NSW. Further approval under section 30 of the Mining Act was not required.

3.4. Community consultation

Condition 3 of EL9293 required the licence holder to carry out community consultation in relation to the planning and conduct of exploration activities. Community consultation was required to be carried out in accordance with the requirements of Exploration code of practice: Community consultation.

An assessment against the mandatory requirements of the code of practice was undertaken as documented in the following sections.

3.4.1. Risk assessment

Mandatory requirement one of the code of practice required the licence holder to conduct a risk assessment to identify and consider the range of opportunities and potential threats associated with community consultation and engagement.

Golden Plateau and Critical Resources completed the activity impact level assessment as outlined in the guidance material in the code of practice. The activity impact level was assessed as low, reflecting the low impact exploration works undertaken. A community consultation risk assessment was prepared for the project. As suggestion for improvement one, it was recommended Golden Plateau and Critical Resources review the community consultation risk assessment with reference to the objectives for consultation (i.e. the risk assessment should focus on what risks need to be managed for effective and inclusive consultation to take place to achieve the objectives for consultation).

3.4.2. Community consultation strategy

Mandatory requirement 2 required the preparation of a community consultation strategy to manage the risks identified in the risk assessment. Mandatory requirement 3 set out the requirements for preparation of the community consultation strategy.

Critical Resources prepared a community consultation strategy for its Halls Peak project on EL9293. A review of the community consultation strategy and plan for exploration activities confirmed it generally addressed the mandatory requirements of the code of practice, as outlined below:

- objectives for the strategy were documented in section 1.1.
- stakeholders were identified in section 4.1 – this section included a description and analysis of the identified stakeholders.

- consultation activities were described in section 4.2. Given the low impact works, consultation activities were limited to responding to submissions and correspondence, and meetings with key stakeholders.
- processes to regularly monitor and respond to feedback, complaints and enquiries were documented in section 4.2.
- mechanisms for review of the strategy were documented in section 6.

3.4.3. Implementation and reporting

Mandatory requirement 4 required the licence holder to implement, monitor and report annually on the community consultation strategy.

Records were available to confirm implementation of the consultation strategy. Given the low impact nature of the exploration activities, and a location remote from nearby communities, liaison with Forestry Corporation was the key consultation undertaken. Evidence was available to confirm provided information to the local council as documented in the consultation strategy.

The annual community consultation reports were prepared, generally in accordance with the reporting guidance in Appendix 2 of the code of practice. The reports were available upon request, but neither Critical Resources nor Golden Plateau received any requests for community consultation reports to be provided.

3.5. Exploration activity approvals

Section 23A of the *Mining Act 1992* required the holder of an exploration licence to obtain an activity approval before carrying out assessable prospecting operations.

Evidence was available to confirm that exploration activity approvals were sought and granted for exploration activities. Exploration activity approvals granted included:

- assessable prospecting operations application dated 8 May 2025 for 12 RC drill holes, and associated approval dated 26 August 2025 (APO0002039).

Generally, evidence was provided to indicate the exploration activities were carried out in accordance with the description provided in the application and in accordance with the approval given.

3.6. Environmental management

Condition 4 of EL9293 required the licence holder to prevent or minimise so far as was reasonably practicable, any harm to the environment arising from the activities carried out under the licence. Condition 2 of the exploration activity approvals required the licence holder to carry out the activity in compliance with Part B of the Exploration code of practice: Environmental management.

The RC drilling program on EL9293 was completed, and all plant and equipment were removed from the site. A full assessment against the Exploration code of practice: Environmental management was not completed but the following observations were made:

- No evidence of environmental harm was observed at the sites visited during the site inspection.

- There was no clearing of vegetation for tracks, access for the drill rig was by driving over the reedy grass, flattening the grass but leaving root systems intact (Figure 1).
- One dry overland flow path was driven across during the program. No issues of concern arose. Given the short drill program timeframe, no rain was encountered during the drilling.
- No waste was observed at any of the sites inspected. Plastic sample bags were collected and disposed of.
- No weed issues were observed during the site inspection.
- The drill pads were not constructed, and there was very minimal surface disturbance around each drill site (Figures 2 and 3).
- Drilling was completed within one week.

During discussion with the Golden Plateau exploration manager and a review of drilling program records during the audit, it was confirmed:

- No groundwater was intersected during the drilling program. Water management controls were not required.
- The environmental risk assessment identified noise and air quality impacts were low risk and controls were not required.
- Biodiversity searches were undertaken before the drilling program. No issues of concern were identified during the searches.
- The access points for the drill sites were discussed with Forestry before the program.
- Records showed the drill rig was washed down at the laydown area on a property off Raspberry Road as a control for weeds, pest animals and diseases.
- Cultural heritage searches were completed for the application for assessable prospecting operations. No cultural heritage sites were identified, and no controls were required. The Forestry permit included a procedure if any heritage items were identified during drilling operations.

An environmental risk assessment was undertaken and documented as part of the exploration operations environmental management plan. As suggestion for improvement 2, it was recommended Golden Plateau and Critical Resources review the environmental risk assessment to check it addressed all the mandatory elements of the code of practice.

Figure 1: Track between ARC-006 and ARC-007



Figure 2: RC hole ARC-012



Figure 3: RC hole ARC-010



3.7. Security deposit

Condition 5 of EL9293 required the licence holder to provide a security deposit to secure funding for the fulfilment of obligations under the licence.

The security amount required for EL9293 was \$10,000, which department records confirmed was held. The RC drilling program on EL9293 did not trigger an increase in security from the minimum required.

3.8. Rehabilitation

Condition 6 of EL9293 required the licence holder to carry out rehabilitation of all disturbance caused by activities carried out under the licence in accordance with the requirements of the Exploration code of practice: Rehabilitation.

An assessment against the mandatory requirements of the code of practice was undertaken for the exploration activities as documented in the following sections.

3.8.1. Risk assessment

Mandatory requirement one required the licence holder to conduct a risk assessment to evaluate the range of potential threats and opportunities associated with rehabilitating disturbed areas to a condition that could support the intended final land use.

Golden Plateau and Critical Minerals prepared a risk assessment for exploration operations. Although brief, the risk assessment included a range of risks associated with rehabilitation of exploration activities. Controls were documented for the risks identified.

3.8.2. Rehabilitation objectives and completion criteria

Mandatory requirement 2 required the licence holder, no later than 14 days before the commencement of surface disturbing activities, to provide to the Secretary a copy of clear, specific, achievable and measurable rehabilitation objectives and completion criteria (ROCC). For higher risk prospecting operations, a rehabilitation management plan was required to be prepared and submitted with the rehabilitation objectives and completion criteria.

The exploration activity approval applications lodged by Golden Plateau indicated the surface disturbance area was less than the 5 hectare threshold for a higher risk prospecting operation. The drilling program did not fall within the definition of a higher risk prospecting operation under the code of practice, and a rehabilitation management plan was not required to be developed. Although not required, Golden Plateau and Critical Resources prepared a rehabilitation management plan for the drilling program. Drilling was completed and initial rehabilitation was commenced at the time of the audit.

ROCCs were documented as part of the application for assessable prospecting operations. It was noted that the ROCCs were generally based on the template provided in Appendix 2 of the code of practice.

3.8.3. Rehabilitation program

Mandatory requirement 3 required the licence holder to develop, implement and complete a rehabilitation program (which included a monitoring program) to rehabilitate disturbed areas to a condition that could support the intended final land use. Mandatory requirement 4 required the licence holder to commence rehabilitation of a site as soon as reasonably practicable following the completion of activities on that site.

Rehabilitation monitoring was generally done using a photographic record. Photographs before, during, and after drilling were noted to be maintained electronically for each site. The sites were drilled in early October 2025, and initial clean-up and preliminary rehabilitation was in progress at the time of the audit in late October. This was consistent with the commitment to rehabilitate as soon as reasonably practicable after the completion of activities.

It was noted rehabilitation of the sites inspected was not signed off as satisfactory by the Regulator. Golden Plateau and Critical Resources were intending to submit applications for sign-off for the RC drilling program once monitoring confirmed the rehabilitation objectives and completion criteria were achieved. As suggestion for improvement 3, it was recommended Golden Plateau and Critical Resources develop and implement a process to assess the performance of rehabilitation against the nominated rehabilitation objectives and completion criteria.

3.9. Annual activity reporting

Section 163C of the *Mining Act 1992*, clause 59 of the Mining Regulation 2016 and condition 8 of EL9293 required the licence holder to submit an activity report annually within one calendar month following grant anniversary date. Annual activity reports were required to be prepared in accordance with the Exploration guideline: Annual activity reporting for prospecting titles.

During the audit scope period Golden Plateau and Critical Resources submitted annual activity reports comprising:

- annual geological report
- environmental rehabilitation and compliance report.

Generally, reports were found to be in accordance with the NSW Resources and/or Resources Regulator templates and guidance material.

3.10. Core and sample storage

Clause 65 of the Mining Regulation 2016 required the holder of an authority to, so far as is reasonably practicable, collect, retain and preserve:

- all drill cores remaining after sampling
- characteristic samples of the rock or strata encountered in any drill holes.

All core and samples collected were required to be labelled, stored and managed in a manner that preserved the integrity of the core or samples.

Chip sample storage was maintained on a nearby property. This was not inspected during the audit. Photos were provided to confirm chip tray storage. The chip trays were labelled and stacked on shelves.

Core from previous drilling programs was stored at the nearby property. The pallets of core trays were stored in an open yard. Some of this core was accepted by NSW Resources Londonderry core library.

3.11. Record keeping

Sections 163D and 163E of the *Mining Act 1992* related to the creation and maintenance of records required under the Act, the Regulations, or a condition of title. Records must be kept in a legible form for production to any inspector and must be maintained for a period of four years after the expiry or cancellation of the title. Specific requirements for the types of records to be maintained for exploration activities were detailed in the mandatory requirements of the exploration codes of practice as follows:

- mandatory requirement 6 of the rehabilitation code of practice
- mandatory requirement 13.1 of the environmental management code of practice
- mandatory requirement 5 of the community consultation code of practice.

Records reviewed during the audit demonstrated that Golden Plateau and Critical Resources generally maintained records as required by the licence conditions and the exploration codes of practice. It was noted that relevant documents and records were readily retrievable upon request.

Examples of records reviewed included:

- land access agreements and title searches
- mapping
- environmental and rehabilitation risk assessment
- assessable prospecting operations approval and associated documentation
- pre, during and post drilling photos
- rehabilitation objectives and completion criteria
- daily site record books
- community consultation risk assessment
- community consultation strategy
- community consultation records
- community consultation reports
- annual activity reporting
- core and sample storage photos.

4. Compliance management

4.1. Identifying compliance obligations

Identifying compliance obligations is a critical step in the development of an effective compliance management system. Compliance obligations for an exploration project can include:

- regulatory requirements (for example, the *Mining Act 1992*)
- conditions imposed on the grant, renewal, or transfer of exploration licences
- exploration activity approvals
- exploration codes of practice
- specific commitments made by the organisation (for example, commitments made in the approved exploration activity application).

Once identified, compliance obligations should be reviewed periodically to identify any changes in those obligations (for example, changes in legislation).

The Golden Plateau exploration manager generally had a good understanding of the compliance requirements for exploration. Systems and processes for managing compliance requirements were generally developed and implemented. Further development of these systems and processes would be beneficial as outlined in the observations of concern and suggestions for improvement arising from the audit.

It was noted records were generally maintained to demonstrate compliance.

4.2. Contractor management

Contractors are often used to undertake specialist tasks, for example, exploration drilling. While the responsibility for compliance or the implementation of environmental controls is often passed to the contractor, the licence holder will retain accountability for compliance with its licence conditions and other compliance obligations. It is important that the licence holder exercises management control of its contractors by specifying contract requirements, providing oversight of contracted works, and evaluating the performance of the contractor during the contracted works.

Golden Plateau and Critical Resources used contract drillers for the RC drilling program. Drilling was completed at the time of the audit, and a review of contractor management activities was not undertaken. The Golden Plateau exploration geologist was on site during the drilling operations to supervise the drilling contractor.

4.3. Inspections, monitoring and evaluation

An effective inspection, monitoring and evaluation process is required to:

- monitor the implementation of the risk controls
- evaluate the effectiveness of those controls based on an assessment of inspection and monitoring data

- implement an adaptive management approach if monitoring shows that controls may be ineffective.

Golden Plateau and Critical Resources exploration staff established an inspection and monitoring process that was suitable for the nature of the exploration operations being conducted. These processes were noted to include inspection of drill sites and rehabilitation to confirm works are completed in accordance with the controls identified in the risk assessments.

Exploration staff advised that the process for checking the implementation and effectiveness of controls was informal and not documented. As suggestion for improvement 4, Golden Plateau and Critical Resources should consider the development of a documented process to evaluate the effectiveness of the risk controls implemented, with revised controls identified where those risks changed, or controls were identified as being ineffective.

5. Audit conclusions

From the evidence reviewed during the audit and observations made on site during the site inspection, it was concluded the exploration operations undertaken by Critical Resources, as the operator for Golden Plateau, were well managed. Evidence was available to demonstrate that systems and processes were developed to identify and manage compliance requirements. It was observed that records were being maintained as required to demonstrate compliance.

Golden Plateau and Critical Resources were compliant with the requirements of the exploration licence, exploration activity approvals and the exploration codes of practice, for the elements reviewed during the audit. No non-compliances were identified during the audit.

Four suggestions for improvement were identified as summarised in Table 2.

Table 2: Summary of suggestions for improvement

Suggestion for improvement number	Description of issue
1	It was recommended Golden Plateau and Critical Resources review the community consultation risk assessment with reference to the objectives for consultation (i.e. the risk assessment should focus on what risks need to be managed for effective and inclusive consultation to take place to achieve the objectives for consultation).
2	It was recommended Golden Plateau and Critical Resources review the environmental risk assessment to check it addressed all the mandatory elements of the code of practice.
3	It was recommended Golden Plateau and Critical Resources develop and implement a process to assess the performance of rehabilitation against the nominated rehabilitation objectives and completion criteria.
4	Golden Plateau and Critical Resources should consider developing a documented process to evaluate the effectiveness of the risk controls implemented, with revised controls identified where those risks changed, or controls were identified as being ineffective.